



Fig.1: XMCD signal measured versus the applied field (from +7T to -7T) at the Dy and Y L_3 edges and at different temperatures for two superlattices after a +7T field cooling process from 300K.

In the $[\text{DyFe}_2(1 \text{ nm})/\text{YFe}_2(4 \text{ nm})]$ superlattice, the results unambiguously show that the Dy and Y signals are of opposite signs whatever the magnetic field and temperature. This reveals that the antiferromagnetic coupling between the net magnetization in each compound is not destroyed, even for the maximum applied magnetic field. The thicknesses of individual layers are likely too small for interface domain walls to develop. As a consequence, the net magnetization of the sample reverses as a block, in keeping the giant ferrimagnetic structure between both compounds.

The $[\text{DyFe}_2(3 \text{ nm})/\text{YFe}_2(12 \text{ nm})]$ superlattice exhibits a completely different behaviour. First of all, the magnetization reversal amazingly affects first the harder DyFe_2 layers (reduction of the Dy signal under positive field) when the temperature increases. This confirms the results we obtained for the $[\text{DyFe}_2(5 \text{ nm})/\text{YFe}_2(20 \text{ nm})]$ in a previous experiment. Moreover two new interesting features have been observed in this system:

- (i) under the $\pm 7\text{T}$ maximum external field, the Dy XMCD signal is close to zero
- (ii) at 10K, the Dy XMCD signal is close to zero and almost constant over the whole field range.

We can thus conclude that the magnetic configuration for $\pm 7\text{T}$ is neither the ferrimagnetic one favoured by exchange (as in the previous sample), nor the ferromagnetic one favoured by the Zeeman energy. Further experiments are necessary to clarify this magnetic configuration. At 10K, the magnetization in the DyFe_2 layers is completely frozen, probably due to the large anisotropy, and this specific structure remains also to be elucidated.