



	<b>Experiment title:</b> Structure determination of Deoxyuridine pyrophosphatase (dUTPase) from <i>Trypanosoma cruzi</i>	<b>Experiment number:</b> LS-1532
<b>Beamline:</b> BM14	<b>Date of experiment:</b> from: 7/12/99 to: 9/12/99	<b>Date of report:</b> 28/08/00
<b>Shifts:</b>	<b>Local contact(s):</b> V. Stojanoff	<i>Received at ESRF:</i>

**Names and affiliations of applicants** (\* indicates experimentalists):

Maria Harkiolaki\*, Johan P. Turkenburg\* & Keith S. Wilson

York Structural Biology Laboratory, Chem. Dept., University of York, Heslington, York, YO10 5DD, UK

**Report:**

The presence of uracil instead of thymine in DNA, whether caused by non specific incorporation by DNA polymerase or by spontaneous deamination of cytosine, is highly mutagenic and leads to the activation of repair mechanisms which nick the DNA in their attempt to excise uracil. Depending on the extent of uracil incorporation, this action might give rise to multiple breaks in the DNA strands and leads to DNA fragmentation and cell death. Directly related to the ratio of dTTP/dUTP in the cell is the extent of uracil incorporation in the genome. If this ratio is low, DNA polymerase encounters more of the uracil deoxyribonucleotide than normal DNA equivalent (dTTP) and incorporates the former to the newly synthesised DNA strand.

Deoxyuridine pyrophosphatase (dUTPase EC 3.6.1.23) is the enzyme responsible for the hydrolysis of deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate (dUTP) to pyrophosphate and deoxyuridine 5'-monophosphate (dUMP). In doing so, dUTPase not only eliminates the triphosphate form of deoxyuridine which is a substrate for DNA polymerase (dUMP is not a possible substrate), but also produces dUMP which is the major metabolite in the production of the normal DNA deoxyribonucleotide dTTP (see Pyrimidine Salvage and Interconversion Pathways, attached). In essence dUTPase keeps the cellular dTTP/dUTP ratio sufficiently high for maintenance of normal cellular activity.

The highly homologous protozoan dUTPases from *Leishmania major* (Camachio *et al.*, 1997) and *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Bernier-Villamor *et al.*, 1999) which function as dimers, share minimal primary sequence similarity with known dUTPases from other organisms. It is this feature that suggests their potential use as targets in drug design against these organisms. Being sufficiently different from mammalian dUTPases, drugs designed to inhibit them could be lethal to the protozoans whilst leaving their hosts unaffected.

The *T. cruzi* dUTPase crystallises in space group P6<sub>3</sub>22 with cell dimensions a=b=136.43Å and c=68.70Å and one molecule in the asymmetric unit with a solvent content of 55% (crystal form I). Data was collected to

2.4Å with 98% completeness. Selenomethionine dUTPase also crystallises in space group P6<sub>3</sub>22 but with the c axis dimension doubled (crystal form II). The cell dimensions are a=b= 134.66Å and c=148.66Å and there are two molecules per asymmetric unit with a solvent content of 60%.

Data were collected at three wavelengths on station BM14 at the ESRF to a resolution of 3.0Å and completeness of 100%. The data were processed using DENZO and SCALEPACK. The positions of seleniums were readily determined using SOLVE (Terwilliger & Berendzen, 1999). The map was clear enough to distinguish secondary structure features such as helices. A fragmented initial C<sub>α</sub> trace was built using Quanta (MSI Inc). The non-crystallographic symmetry matrix was deduced from the selenium positions plus some of the C<sub>α</sub> trace and used to improve the phases through NCS averaging using DM (Cowtan, 1994). As tracing proceeded, it became apparent that each molecule was comprised of two domains and they were related with separate NCS matrices suggesting relative domain movement. Again the density was averaged with DM, using modification with multi domain NCS averaging. Multi-domain averaging produced a map with sufficient features for an elementary amino acid assignment, admittedly spanning barely half the actual molecule. This molecule was then used as a model for structure solution in crystal form I by molecular replacement using the program AmoRe (Navaza, 1994). Electron density maps calculated using this model were of poor quality. Cross crystal averaging did not improve the maps significantly, most likely due to domain flexibility in the molecule. Therefore, data were also collected on station ID14-2 for a mercury derivative crystal grown in the presence of thimerosal (crystal form I). Phase information from this derivative was used to improve the electron density for crystal form I.

Crystal from II was refined to an R-factor of 28% (R-free 38%) using REFMAC (Murshudov *et al.*, 1997). This partially refined model was then used as a starting model in crystal form I, and further refined to an R-factor of 21% (R-free 29%). Several further datasets were collected at the ESRF in an attempt to obtain structures of the protein in the presence of cofactors. So far no unambiguous density has been observed for the cofactors.

## References

- Camachio, A., Arrebola, R., Pena-Diaz, J., Ruiz-Perez, L.M. & Gonzalez-Pacanowska, D. Description of a novel eukaryotic deoxyuridine 5'-triphosphate nucleotidohydrolase in *Leishmania major*. *Biochem. J.* **325**, 441-447 (1997).
- Bernier-Villamor, V., Camacho, A., Gonzalez-Pacanowska, D., Cedergren-Zeppezauer E., Antson, A. & Wilson, K.S. Crystallisation and preliminary X-ray diffraction of *Trypanosoma cruzi* dUTPase. *Acta Cryst.* **D55**, 528-530 (1999).
- Terwilliger, T.C. & J. Berendzen. Automated structure solution for MIR and MAD. *Acta Cryst.* **D55**, 849-861 (1999).
- Molecular Simulations Inc. <http://www.msi.com/life/products/quanta/index.html>
- Cowtan, K. dm: An automated procedure for phase improvement by density modification. *Joint CCP4 and ESF-EACBM Newsletter on Protein Crystallography* **31**, 34-38 (1994).
- Navaza, J. AMoRe: an Automated Package for Molecular Replacement. *Acta. Cryst.* **50**, 157-163 (1994).
- Murshudov, G.N., Vagin, A. A. & Dodson, E.J. Refinement of Macromolecular Structures by the Maximum-Likelihood Method *Acta Cryst* **D53**, 240-255 (1997).