Experiment title: Structural determination of alphaII-VOPO4 phases during electrochemical cycling	Experiment numbe r: CH-1045
Beamline:	Date of report:
BIVIIO	20/08/03
	Received at ESRF:
Date of experiment : from: 04/04/01 to:08/04/01	
Shifts:	
12	
Local contact(s):	
Michela Brunelli	

Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists): N. Dupre*, J. Gaubicher*, and M. Quarton

Laboratoire de Cristallochimie du Solide, Université Pierre et Marie Curie-Paris VI, 4 place

Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cédex 05, France

Mathieu Morcrette *

Laboratoire de Chimie des Solides, Bat. 414, Universite Paris-XI Orsay, 91405 Orsay, FRANCE

Report:

In an effort to develop new positive electrode materials for lithium ion batteries, we are studying layered materials VOPO₄. xH_2O , with $0 \le x \le 2$. Recently, we demonstrated that the electrochemical behavior of the α_{II} -VOPO₄ system [1] is more complex than for other anhydrous VOPO₄ phases [1, 2]. Through the use of very slow stepwise potentiodynamic cycling with corresponding XRD studies, we were able to identify the particular behavior of this system that resulted during cycling: the redox process occurs in two main steps at 3.7 and 3.5 V for the first reduction and at 3.9 and 3.7 V for the following cycles. The 3.5 V process fades on cycling and has completely disappeared upon the second reduction. In order to probe the origin of this evolution, we undertook an *ex-situ* XRD study in

transmission mode on the starting material and on samples stabilized at the following potential: 3.55 and 3.00 V on the first reduction, 3.67, 3.85 and 4.00 V on the first oxidation, 3.75 and 3.00 V on the second reduction and 4.30 V on the second oxidation, using the synchrotron radiation source at ESRF. The results demonstrate a strong correlation between the electrochemical and structural behavior: first of all *ex-situ* studies on the high resolution BM16 line with time resolution on the order of minutes, show that all the electrochemical steps observed during the cycling are first order transitions related to the transformation from α_{II} -VOPO₄ to α_{II} -LiVOPO₄.

It has been found that the initial sample used for the X-ray experiments is not pure as the refinement results give 80 % of α_{II} -VOPO₄ and 20 % of β -VOPO₄. The major part of the first reduction 3.55 V sample is α_{II} -VOPO₄; peaks of the corresponding lithiated phase are however, clearly seen, showing that the 3.7 V process is not related to an impurity or another VOPO₄ phase. The reflections corresponding to the β phase are not seen anymore as it is completely reduced (the V^{5+}/V^{4+} redox couple is at 4.00 V in the β -VOPO₄ host matrix). The reflections corresponding to $\hat{\alpha}_{II}$ -LiVOPO₄ are more intense, as can be seen on the X-ray powder diffraction pattern of the sample equilibrated at 3.00 V. In contrast, the peaks assigned to the β -phase do not evolve as the same peak width and intensity are observed for the 3.55 and the 3.00 V samples. The following phases are observed on the 3.67 V sample (i.e. after the first step of the first oxidation): α_{II} LiVOPO₄, β -LiVOPO₄ and α_{II} -VOPO₄. The α_{II} phase reappears as the lithium is partially removed from the host matrix but with a higher peak-width value, sign of a loss of cristallinity. This loss of cristallinity caused by small distortions and rearrangements during the formation process might be responsible for the change of potential of one of the electrochemical step. The composition refinements show that only 14 % of α_{II} -VOPO₄ are present in the sample whereas a large majority of α_{II} -LiVOPO₄ and β -LiVOPO₄ is observed. The amount of β -LiVOPO₄ stays unchanged and no reflections corresponding to β -VOPO₄ is observed on the X-ray diffraction pattern of the sample reoxidized to 3.85 V. The Bragg reflections for the α_{II} phase show a loss of intensity and an increase in the peak-width supporting further loss of cristallinity upon cycling. Two intense peaks appear, for d = 3.10and 3.08 Å that will be discussed later. These peaks disappear from the 3.75 V sample pattern on the second reduction. Then, these reflections are ascribed to a phase reducible by lithium intercalation. The ratio of α_{II} -LiVOPO₄ is higher than for the first reduction at the same potential, consistent with the shift of the main intercalation process up to 3.90 V upon the second electrochemical cycle.

At the end of the second discharge (**3.00** V), the reductions of β -VOPO₄ and α_{II} -VOPO₄ are found to be complete as well as at the end of the first reduction. The reflections corresponding to α_{II} -LiVOPO₄ show an increase in the peak-width and a decrease in the reflected intensity whereas the 3D structure of β -

VOPO₄ shows a good cristallinity retention upon cycling, consistent with a more resistant structure [3]. Then, the electrochemical cyclings of Li/α_{II} -VOPO₄ and Li/β -VOPO₄ systems seem to occur in an independent way as the ratio of these phases and their lithiated equivalents undergo no important variation at the end of reduction or oxidation. The unassigned peak on the 4 V sample at the end of the second oxidation, at $2\theta = 12.8^{\circ}$ (3.08 Å) is both less intense and sharper than at the end of the previous oxidation although the other peak at $2\theta = 12.7^{\circ}$ (3.10 Å) is considerably more intense. These two peaks could be related to the same phase, which might undergo a formation process on cycling too. In addition, on all the reduced samples some unassigned peaks have been found. These peaks could not been observed by the use of laboratory X-ray diffractometer due to the lack of resolution of the equipment and the background caused by the amorphous carbon of the electrode. Moreover, at the end of each cycle, the integrated intensity corresponding to the α_{II} phase has decreased, confirming the presence of other phases in the sample. A large part of the peaks (but not all) can be indexed in the tetragonal system with the following lattice parameters a = 8.7231(5) and c =7.5227(5) Å. Refinements give respectively 37 % and 64 % for this unknown phases at the end of the first and second cycle that we called α_{III} -VOPO₄.

Previous X-ray experiments on pure α_{II} -VOPO₄ sample after oxidation to 4.3 V did not show any additional peaks as seen on the pattern acquired on the synchrotron for the same potential. Then the phase(s) associated to these peaks should be seen as "independent" impurities and not as a product of the formation process. As a matter of fact, these impurities could be caused by the high potential i.e. high instability of the samples equilibrated at 4.3 V.

The second possible explanation concerning the loss of crystallinity is related to a completely different type of disorder: the formation of the material at low potential is realized by the lithium insertion that has to invert the direction of the V=O vanadyl bonds from outside to inside of the structural planes for steric and electrical reasons. During the intercalation/deintercalation process, the formation of new layered VOPO₄-like phases is possible. These phases would present V=O orientations different from the α_{I} , α_{II} , γ and δ phases. In the two latter phases, one V=O bond over two is directed outside of the structural layers; then it is possible to imagine new phases with, for example, 1/3 or 1/4 V=O bond pointing towards the interlayer space (Fig. 10). The presence of microdomains of these new phases would be equivalent to a disorder in the orientation of the vanadyl bonds in the material and would lead to a loss of crystallinity, as observed by XRD, upon the electrochemical cycling. Moreover, during the intercalation process, all these phases yield α_{II} -LiVOPO₄. That is consistent with the important presence of poorly crystallized phases, observed by XRD in the oxidized samples.

References:

[1] N. Dupré, J. Gaubicher, J. Angenault, G. Wallez and M. Quarton, J. of Power Sources, 97 (2001) 532

[2] N. Dupré, J. Gaubicher, T. Le Mercier, G. Wallez and M. Quarton, *Solid State Ionics*, 140 (2001) 209

[3] N. Dupré, Thèse de doctorat, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris 2001

[4] J. Gaubicher, G. Vaughan, T. Le Mercier, C. Masquelier, J. Angenault, Y. Chabre and M. Quarton,

manuscript in preparation.