INSTALLATION EUROPEENNE DE RAYONNEMENT SYNCHROTRON



Experiment Report Form

The double page inside this form is to be filled in by all users or groups of users who have had access to beam time for measurements at the ESRF.

Once completed, the report should be submitted electronically to the User Office via the User Portal:

https://wwws.esrf.fr/misapps/SMISWebClient/protected/welcome.do

Reports supporting requests for additional beam time

Reports can be submitted independently of new proposals – it is necessary simply to indicate the number of the report(s) supporting a new proposal on the proposal form.

The Review Committees reserve the right to reject new proposals from groups who have not reported on the use of beam time allocated previously.

Reports on experiments relating to long term projects

Proposers awarded beam time for a long term project are required to submit an interim report at the end of each year, irrespective of the number of shifts of beam time they have used.

Published papers

All users must give proper credit to ESRF staff members and proper mention to ESRF facilities which were essential for the results described in any ensuing publication. Further, they are obliged to send to the Joint ESRF/ ILL library the complete reference and the abstract of all papers appearing in print, and resulting from the use of the ESRF.

Should you wish to make more general comments on the experiment, please note them on the User Evaluation Form, and send both the Report and the Evaluation Form to the User Office.

Deadlines for submission of Experimental Reports

- 1st March for experiments carried out up until June of the previous year;
- 1st September for experiments carried out up until January of the same year.

Instructions for preparing your Report

- fill in a separate form for each project or series of measurements.
- type your report, in English.
- include the reference number of the proposal to which the report refers.
- make sure that the text, tables and figures fit into the space available.
- if your work is published or is in press, you may prefer to paste in the abstract, and add full reference details. If the abstract is in a language other than English, please include an English translation.

ESRF	Experiment title: Micro-XANES and micro-XRD investigation of carbonatation processes for stone consolidation	Experiment number: HG-63
Beamline:	Date of experiment:	Date of report:
ID21	from: 29/01/2016 to: 05/02/2016	31/01/2020
Shifts: 18	Local contact(s): Wout De nolf	<i>Received at ESRF</i> :
Names and affiliations of applicants (* indicates experimentalists):		
* Letizia Monico, CNR-ISTM, Perugia, Italy		
* Laura Cartechini, CNR-ISTM, Perugia, Italy		
* Francesca Rosi, CNR-ISTM, Perugia, Italy		
* Celeste Maurich, CNR-ISTM, Perugia, Italy		
* Costanza Miliani, CNR-ISTM, Perugia, Italy		

1. INTRODUCTION

The application of consolidants is a common practice for the preservation of limestones used in cultural heritage buildings and monuments against outdoor weathering processes.

Recently, inorganic treatments involving the *in situ* formation of CaCO₃, have been proposed, being more suitable due to their higher physico-chemical compatibility and stability with respect to synthetic organic polymers (e.g., polyacrylates, polyvinylacetates and epoxies). [1]

The main issue of these Ca-based consolidants is the formation of different $CaCO_3$ phases, that may range from amorphous deposits to crystalline systems composed of either vaterite, or calcite, or their mixtures [1-3]. The formation of different phases of calcium carbonate has implication on the long term performance of a consolidation treatment.

In the context of our research, we have tested a newly developed calcium acetoacetate-based consolidant [4] and the commercially available products CaLoSiL IP-5 and IP-25 (IBZ-Salzchemie GmbH & Co.KG) product, as a precursor for deposition of CaCO₃. At this regard, earlier studies have shown that different metastable calcium carbonate phases (i.e. first, amorphous CaCO₃ and then vaterite) can precede the formation of stable calcite during the carbonation of these calcium-based consolidants and that the formation of calcite is favored with increasing relative humidity (RH) conditions. [5-8]

Thus, for such systems, it becomes highly relevant providing detailed information about the nature and distribution of the newly formed crystalline and amorphous calcium carbonate phases directly in the stone porosity and to determine the environmental conditions at which they preferentially form.

To this purpose, in this experiment we have employed for the first time a multi-method approach based on synchrotron radiation-based 2D X-ray methods [(i.e., μ -XRD, μ -XRF and XANES at Ca K-edge)] for studying fragments of calcium acetoacetate-based and CaLoSiL consolidated limestone substrates (Lecce stone) treated at different relative humidity conditions.

Such approach permitted us to discriminate between amorphous calcium carbonate (herewith called ACC), vaterite and calcite and to map their distribution inside the stone matrix down to the (sub)micrometer scale length.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

The following materials have been investigated at the ID21 beamline:

a) <u>Reference powders of different polymorphs and amorphous CaCO₃ (4 in total);</u>

<u>b) Calcium acetoacetate-based and CaLoSiL consolidated limestone substrates (Lecce stone) (10 in total)</u> before and after exposure to different RH conditions.

For the analysis, a thin layer (thickness $\approx 10-20 \ \mu m$) of the reference powders was fixed on sulfur-free tape, that was then covered with a foil of ultralene. Regarding the consolidated Lecce stone mock-ups, measurements were carried out on cross-sections prepared from fragments taken from the mock-ups themselves (sizes of *ca*. $3 \times 2 \ mm^2$), which have been embedded into polyester resin and then polished down to a thickness between 80 μm and 150 μm .

Measurements were performed at the scanning μ -XRD/ μ -XRF end station and the scanning X-ray microscope (SXM) and full field (FF)-XANES end-stations. Investigations were carried out by means of a fixed exit double-crystal Si(111) monochromator at all the end-stations.

At the μ -XRD/ μ -XRF end station analysis were performed with an incident beam of 8.5 keV, which was focused by means of Kirkpatrick-Baez (KB) mirrors down to a size of *ca*. 2×2 μ m² (h×v). μ -XRD patterns were recorded using a taper FReLoN detector (2048×2048 pixels, pixel size 52 μ m) and with an exposure time of 10 s/pixel. Data processing of XRD data has been done by XRDUA software. [9]

At the SXM end-station, the incident beam was focused with KB mirrors down to a diameter of $0.7 \times 0.3 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ (h×v). XRF signals were collected in the horizontal plane and at 69° with respect to the incident beam direction by means of either a photodiode or a silicon drift detector (Xflash 5100, Bruker).

Single point XANES spectra were acquired in XRF mode by scanning the primary energy around the Ca Kedge with the following energy step sizes (total points number: 549; exposure time: 0.1 s/point): i) 5 eV in the 3938-4018 eV and 4238-4398 eV range, ii) 0.3 eV in the 4018-4138 eV region and iii) 1 eV in the 4138-4238 eV range.

 μ -XRF mapping investigations were carried out by employing a monochromatic primary beam of fixed energy around the Ca K-edge. Maps of the same region of interest were recorded using 50 ms/pixel at the following three energies: i) 4043.5 eV to favor the excitation of vaterite and amorphous CaCO₃, ii) 4064 eV to obtain the XRF intensity of calcite and iii) 4400 eV for promoting that of all Ca-based species.

PyMCA was used to fit the XRF spectra and to separate the contribution of different elements.

The normalization of single point XANES spectra was performed by means of the ATHENA software. [10] Full-spectral (FS) μ -XANES mapping investigation of a region of interest of one cross-section was performed by recording a stack of 74 μ -XRF maps (exposure time: 40 ms/pixel), while tuning the X-ray energy across the Ca K-edge with the following steps: i) 4 eV in the 4012-4040 eV and 4080-4120 eV range, ii) 0.5 eV in the 4040-4046 eV region, iii) 1 eV in the 4046-4074eV range, iv) 2 eV in the 4074-4080 eV range and v) 6 eV in the 4120-4198 eV region.

Ca K-edge FF-XANES imaging in transmission mode was carried out using an unfocused beam (size of $\sim 1.5 \times 1.5 \text{ mm}^2$). A Lu₂SiO₅:Tb scintillator (located at a distance less than 2 mm, downstream the sample) was used to convert X-ray transmission images into visible images. A 10× optical objective was employed to magnify the image onto a CMOS camera (PCO edge, Germany) with a pixel size of $\sim 0.65 \times 0.65 \ \mu\text{m}^2$ and giving a lateral resolution of $\sim 1.4 \ \mu\text{m}$. The maximum field of view (FOV) was around 1000×1000 $\ \mu\text{m}^2$. A stack of 549 X-ray radiographs was recorded, while tuning the X-ray energy across the Ca K-edge with the same following step sizes used for recording the single point XANES spectra. The dark and flat field correction and the images alignment were performed by employing the Spectrocrunch library.

The TXM-Wizard software package [11] was used to process the FS μ -XANES and FF-XANES data-sets, thus to produce the chemical state maps of different calcium-based compounds and CaCO₃ polymorphs.



As an example, Figure 1 shows the results obtained bv Ca K-edge FF-XANES imaging of a region of interest of the cross-section (thickness: ~ 80 µm) obtained from one of the analyzed Lecce stone mock-up treated with the calcium acetoacetate-based consolidant.

Ca-phase maps confirm that the Lecce matrix is mainly composed of calcite (Figure 1C: red) with crystals of variable shape and size of dolomite (Figure 3D: red), a Mg-rich CaCO₃ phase (green), and one additional Ca-based compound of uncertain attribution (blue).

Measurements carried out on the untreated Lecce stone mockup (results not shown) did not reveal the presence of either vaterite or ACC, meaning that they can be monitored for evaluating the penetration depth and chemical evolution of the calcium acetoacetate consolidant in the CaCO₃-based substrate.

As Figure 1C shows, vaterite formed (green), by the consolidant application, is present as a uniform layer (thickness of ca. 60-100 µm) at the surface of the sample (Area-II). In the porosities of the calcite-based matrix (red), both ACC (Area-I: blue) and vaterite have been found. The presence of both vaterite and calcite has

been also confirmed by μ -XRD mapping in a smaller region of interest of the sample (results not shown). Overall, our results reveal that Ca K-edge EE-XANES imaging in transmission mode can be successfull

Overall, our results reveal that Ca K-edge FF-XANES imaging in transmission mode can be successfully used to study heterogeneous limestone samples, thus permitting to distinguish various CaCO₃-based compounds with high specificity, high lateral resolution (~1.4 μ m) and large field of view (orders of mm²).

However, the spectra extracted from the FF-XANES imaging data-set obtained from thicker cross-sections (thickness of 100-150 μ m) show strong distortions, thus making impossible to obtain any meaningful information about the identification and spatial distribution of various Ca-based phases (results not reported). For such thicker, non transparent samples, problems encountered in transmission mode spectroscopy could be overcome by performing fluorescence mode XANES analysis, thus by combining the acquisition of multiple energy μ -XRF maps with single point μ -XANES analysis and/or by carrying FS μ -XANES mapping of smaller region of interest of the samples.

The results obtained from this experiment will be published soon.

REFERENCES:

- [1] R. Giorgi, et al., Acc. Chem. Res. 43 (2010), 695-704.
- [2] C. Rodriguez-Navarro, et al., Langmuir 29 (2013), 11457-11470.
- [3] F. Ossola, et al., New J. Chem. 36 (2012), 2618-2624.
- [4] L. Škrlep et al., European Patent Office (EPO), EP 3004028 (B1), Munich, 2017.
- [5] P. López-Arce et al., Materials Characterization 61 (2010), 168-184.
- [6] P. López-Arce et al., Powder technology 205 (2011), 263-269.
- [7] J. D. Rodriguez-Blanco et al., Nanoscale 3 (2011), 265-271.
- [8] A. Pondelak et al., Surface Science 506 (2019), 144768.
- [9] W. De Nolf et al., Journal of applied crystallography 47 (2014), 1107-1117.
- [10] B. Ravel, M. J. Newville, Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 12 (2005), 537-541.
- [11] Y. Liu et al., Journal of Synchrotron Radiation 19 (2012), 281-287.